arXiv:1305.0241v1 [math.PR] 1 May 2013

Limit laws for occupation times of stable processes

David Nualart[*] and Fangjun Xu[†]
Department of Mathematics
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas, 66045 USA

Abstract

We prove two limit laws for functionals of one dimensional symmetric 1-stable process using the method of moments, and give a remark on Rosen's paper [15].

Keywords: α-stable process, limit law, local time, method of moments.

Subject Classification: Primary 60F05; Secondary 60G52.

1 Introduction

Let $X = \{X(t),\, t \geq 0\}$ be a symmetric α-stable process in $\mathbb{R}$. The local time $L_t(x)$ of X exists and is jointly continuous in t and x if $\alpha > 1$ (see [3]). For any integrable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, using the scaling property of α-stable process and the continuity of the local time, one can easily obtain the following convergence in law in the space $C([0,\infty))$, as n tends to infinity,

$$\Big(n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} f(X(s))\, ds,\ t \geq 0\Big) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(L_t(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, dx,\ t \geq 0\Big)$$

Assuming that f is a bounded Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, dx = 0$, Rosen [15] showed

$$\Big(n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} f(X(s))\, ds\,,\ t \geq 0\Big) \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \quad \Big(\sqrt{2c\langle f, f\rangle_{\alpha-1}}\, W(L_t(0))\,, t \geq 0\Big)$$

as n tends to infinity, where W is a real-valued Brownian motion independent of X,

$$c = \int_0^{\infty} (p_1(0) - p_1(1/s^{1/\alpha}))\, \frac{ds}{s^{1/\alpha}}$$

with $p_1(x)$ being the probability density function of $X(1)$, and

$$\langle f, f\rangle_{\alpha-1} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-1}\, dx\, dy.$$

---

[*] D. Nualart is supported by the NSF grant DMS1208625.

[†] F. Xu is supported in part by the Robert Adams Fund.

We are interested in the limit theorems for the α-stable process when $\alpha = 1$ because the local time does not exist in this case. We will show the following two limit laws.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that f is bounded and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |xf(x)|\,dx < \infty$. Then, for any $t > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{n}\int_0^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\,ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,dx\Big) Z(t)$$

as n tends to infinity, where $Z(t)$ is an exponential random variable with parameter t.

Remark If we use the normalizing factor $\frac{1}{\log n}$, then the limiting distribution of

$$\frac{1}{\log n}\int_0^{nt} f(X(s))\,ds$$

is independent of t.

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that f is bounded, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |xf(x)|\,dx < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,dx = 0$. Then, for any $t > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\int_0^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\,ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-1}\,dx\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{Z(t)}\,\eta$$

as n tends to infinity, where $\widehat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f and η is a standard normal random variable independent of $Z(t)$.

In 1953, Kallianpur and Robbins [6] proved that for any bounded and integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{\log n}\int_0^{n} f(B(s))ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)\,dx\Big) Z(1)$$

as n tends to infinity, where B is a two-dimensional Brownian motion and $Z(1)$ is an exponential random variable with parameter 1. After that, the asymptotic properties of the additive functionals of the form $\int_0^t f(X(s))\,ds$, where X is a real-valued stochastic process, received a lot of attention. The study of this problem mainly goes into two directions. One is on Markov processes and the other one on fractional Brownian motions. For general Markov processes, we refer to [4, 2, 13, 9]. For some special Markov processes, see, e.g., [8, 1] for Brownian motion and [15, 14] for α-stable processes. For general fractional Brownian motions, we refer to [7, 10, 5, 11, 12].

It is well known that general fractional Brownian motions are neither Markov processes nor semimartingles. So the martingale method applied by Papanicolaou, Stroock and Varadhan in [13] and further developed by Kipnis and Varadhan in [9] is not useful in the fractional Brownian motion case. When proving the limit theorems for (additive) functionals of fractional Brownian motions, one often uses the method of moments. Another possible candidate is the Malliavin calculus. In [12], we introduced a chaining argument to obtain estimates for moments, using Fourier techniques. To the best of our knowledge, this chaining argument is brand new and very powerful for the fractional Brownian motion case. For example, it could have been applied to give another proof of Theorem 1.1 in [15].

In this paper, we will use the methodology in [12] with some modifications to prove the second order limit law (Theorem 1.2) for the 1-stable process and give another expression for the constant in the limiting process in Theorem 1.1 of [15].

After some preliminaries in Section 2, Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1, based on the method of moments. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 using the method of moments and the modified methodology in [12]. In Section 5, we give a remark on Theorem 1.1 in [15]. Throughout this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, the letter c, with or without a subscript, denotes a generic positive finite constant whose exact value is independent of n and may change from line to line. We use ι to denote $\sqrt{-1}$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $X = \{X(t),\, t \geq 0\}$ be a symmetric α-stable process in $\mathbb{R}$. Then, the characteristic function of $X(t)$ is

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{\iota x X(t)} = e^{-t|x|^{\alpha}}$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The next lemma gives formulas for the moments of $Z(t)$ and $\sqrt{Z(t)}\,\eta$ where $Z(t)$ is an exponential random variable with parameter t and η is a standard normal random variable independent of $Z(t)$.

Lemma 2.1 *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\,[Z(t)]^m = m!\, t^m \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\,[\sqrt{Z(t)}\,\eta]^{2m} = \frac{(2m)!\, t^m}{2^m}.$$

Proof. Using the moment generating function of the exponential distribution, we can easily obtain $\mathbb{E}\,[Z(t)]^m = m!\, t^m$. Since η and $Z(t)$ are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}\,[\sqrt{Z(t)}\,\eta]^{2m} = \mathbb{E}\,[Z(t)]^m \mathbb{E}\,[\eta]^{2m} = m!\, t^m\, (2m-1)!! = \frac{(2m)!\, t^m}{2^m}.$$

□

For $\alpha > 1$, the local time $L_t(x)$ of X exists and is jointly continuous in t and x (see [3]). The following lemma gives the expectation of $L_t(0)$.

Lemma 2.2 *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\,(L_t(0)) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi(\alpha-1)} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y|^{\alpha}}\, dy \Big)\, t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Using the Fourier transform,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(L_t(0)\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x|^\alpha s}\, dx\, ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y|^\alpha}\, dy\Big)\Big(\int_0^t s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, ds\Big) \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi(\alpha-1)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y|^\alpha}\, dy\Big)\, t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Using the independent increments property of α-stable processes, we can easily obtain the following useful formula. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\iota \sum\limits_{i=1}^m x_i X(s_i)}\right] = e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |\sum\limits_{j=i}^m x_j|^\alpha (s_i - s_{i-1})}, \tag{2.1}
$$

where $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m < \infty$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1. Since f is bounded, it suffices to show the limit law for

$$
\frac{1}{n}\int_1^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\, ds.
$$

That is,

$$
\frac{1}{n}\int_1^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\, ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, dx\Big) Z(t) \tag{3.1}
$$

as n tends to infinity.

For any $t > 0$, using the Fourier transform, we can write

$$
\frac{1}{n}\int_1^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\, ds = \frac{1}{2\pi n}\int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(x)\, e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds.
$$

Lemma 3.1 *The difference of*

$$
\frac{1}{n}\int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(x)\, e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n}\int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|x|\le 1} \widehat{f}(0)\, e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds
$$

converges to zero in L^2 as n tends to infinity.

Proof. We first show that

$$F_{n,1} := \frac{1}{n} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|x|\geq 1} \widehat{f}(x)\, e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds$$

converges to zero in L^2 as n tends to infinity. This follows easily from the following argument.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\,[F_{n,1}]^2 &= \frac{2}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{|x_1|\geq 1} \int_{|x_2|\geq 1} \widehat{f}(x_1)\widehat{f}(x_2)\, e^{-|x_2|(s_2-s_1)-|x_2+x_1|s_1}\, dx\, ds \\
&= \frac{2}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{|y_1-y_2|\geq 1} \int_{|y_2|\geq 1} \widehat{f}(y_1-y_2)\widehat{f}(y_2)\, e^{-|y_2|(s_2-s_1)-|y_1|s_1}\, dy\, ds \\
&\leq \frac{c_1}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|y_1-y_2|\geq 1} \int_{|y_2|\geq 1} |\widehat{f}(y_2)||y_2|^{-1}\, e^{-|y_1|s_1}\, dy\, ds_1 \\
&\leq \frac{c_2}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y_1|s_1}\, dy_1\, ds_1 \\
&\leq c_3 \frac{t}{n},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used that $\widehat{f}$ is bounded. We next show that

$$F_{n,2} := \frac{1}{n} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|x|\leq 1} (\widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(0))\, e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds$$

converges to zero in L^2 as n tends to infinity.

Since $|\widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(0)| < c_4\, |x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\,[F_{n,2}]^2 &\leq \frac{c_5}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{|x_1|\leq 1} \int_{|x_2|\leq 1} |x_1||x_2|\, e^{-|x_2|(s_2-s_1)-|x_2+x_1|s_1}\, dx\, ds \\
&\leq \frac{c_5}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{|y_1-y_2|\leq 1} \int_{|y_2|\leq 1} |y_2|\, e^{-|y_2|(s_2-s_1)-|y_1|s_1}\, dy\, ds \\
&\leq \frac{c_6}{n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|y_1|\leq 2} e^{-|y_1|s_1}\, dy_1\, ds_1 \\
&\leq c_7\, \frac{t}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two estimates gives the desired result. □

With the help of Lemma 3.1, to prove Theorem 1.1, we only need to show the following result.

Proposition 3.2 *Suppose that f is bounded and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |xf(x)|\, dx < \infty$. Then, for any $t > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|x|\leq 1} \widehat{f}(0)\, e^{-\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, dx\Big)\, Z(t)$$

as n tends to infinity, where $Z(t)$ is an exponential random variable with parameter t.

Proof. Let

$$F_n = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|x|\le 1} \widehat{f}(0)\, e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds.$$

We first show tightness. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\,[F_n]^2 &= \frac{(\widehat{f}(0))^2}{2\pi^2 n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{[-1,1]^2} e^{-|x_2|(s_2-s_1)-|x_2+x_1|s_1}\, dx\, ds \\
&= \frac{(\widehat{f}(0))^2}{2\pi^2 n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{|y_2|\le 1} \int_{|y_1-y_2|\le 1} e^{-|y_2|(s_2-s_1)-|y_1|s_1}\, dy\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to the variable y and taking into account that $|y_1 - y_2| \le 1$ and $|y_2| \le 1$ implies $|y_1| \le 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}\,[F_n]^2 \le \frac{2(\widehat{f}(0))^2}{\pi^2 n^2} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \frac{1-e^{-(s_2-s_1)}}{s_2-s_1} \frac{1-e^{-2s_1}}{s_1}\, ds.$$

Making the change of variables $u_2 = s_2 - s_1$ and $u_1 = 2s_1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\,[F_n]^2 &\le \frac{2(\widehat{f}(0))^2}{\pi^2 n^2} \int_0^{e^{2nt}} \int_0^{e^{2nt}} \frac{1-e^{-u_2}}{u_2} \frac{1-e^{-u_1}}{u_1}\, du \\
&= \frac{2(\widehat{f}(0))^2}{\pi^2} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2e^{nt}} \frac{1-e^{-v}}{v}\, dv \Big)^2 \\
&\le \frac{2(\widehat{f}(0))^2}{\pi^2} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 1\, dv + \frac{1}{n} \int_1^{2e^{nt}} \frac{1}{v}\, dv \Big)^2 \\
&\le c\, (\widehat{f}(0))^2 (1+t)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We next show the convergence of all moments. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_m^n = \mathbb{E}\,\big(F_n\big)^m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_m^n &= \Big(\frac{\widehat{f}(0)}{2\pi} \Big)^m \frac{1}{n^m}\, \mathbb{E}\, \Big(\int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_{|x|\le 1} e^{\iota x X(s)}\, dx\, ds \Big)^m \\
&= \Big(\frac{\widehat{f}(0)}{2\pi} \Big)^m \frac{m!}{n^m} \int_{[-1,1]^m} \int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |\sum\limits_{j=i}^{m} x_j|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\, ds\, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_m = \big\{ 1 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m < e^{nt} \big\}$, with the convention $s_0 = 0$.

By Lemma 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} I_m^n &= \Big(\frac{\widehat{f}(0)}{2\pi} \Big)^m m! \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{[-1,1]^m} \int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |\sum\limits_{j=i}^{m} x_j|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\, ds\, dx \\
&= \Big(\frac{\widehat{f}(0)}{2\pi} \Big)^m m!\, (2t)^m \\
&= \Big(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, dx \Big)^m \mathbb{E}\,[Z(t)]^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the method of moments, the proof is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This follows from Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.1, and the argument before Lemma 3.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we shall show Theorem 1.2. Since f is bounded, we only need to consider the convergence of the following random variables

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_1^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\, ds.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$I_m^n = \frac{m!}{n^{\frac{m}{2}}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{D_{m,1}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m f(X(s_i)) \Big) ds \Big],$$

where $D_{m,1} = \big\{ (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in D_m : s_i - s_{i-1} \geq n^{-m},\, i = 2, 3, \dots, m \big\}$ and $D_m = \big\{ 1 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m < e^{nt} \big\}$ as before. Then, taking into account that f is bounded, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^m - I_m^n \Big| &\leq \frac{m!}{n^{\frac{m}{2}}} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{D_m \cap \{|s_j - s_{j-1}| < n^{-m}\}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |f(X(s_i))| \Big) ds \Big] \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \frac{m m!}{n^{\frac{3m}{2}}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{D_{m-1}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} |f(X(s_i))| \Big) ds \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$\Big| \mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^m - I_m^n \Big| \leq c_1 n^{-\frac{m}{2}-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Applying the Fourier transform, we can write

$$I_m^n = \frac{m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{D_{m,1}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \widehat{f}(x_i) \Big) \mathbb{E}\Big(e^{\iota \sum\limits_{i=1}^m x_i X(s_i)} \Big) ds\, dx.$$

Using (2.1) and then making the change of variables $y_i = \sum\limits_{j=i}^m x_j$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ gives

$$I_m^n = \frac{m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{D_{m,1}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1}) \Big) e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|(s_i - s_{i-1})} ds\, dy.$$

Let $I_{m,0}^n = I_m^n$. For $k = 1, \dots, m$, we define

$$I_{m,k}^n = \frac{m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{D_{m,1}} I_k \prod_{i=k+1}^m \widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1})\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|(s_i - s_{i-1})} ds\, dy,$$

where

$$I_k = \begin{cases} \prod\limits_{j=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \widehat{f}(-y_{k+1}), & \text{if } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \prod\limits_{j=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2, & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The following proposition, which is similar to Proposition 3.1 in [12], controls the difference between $I_{m,k-1}^n$ and $I_{m,k}^n$. We fix a positive constant γ strictly less than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proposition 4.1 *For $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there exists a positive constant c, which depends on γ, such that*

$$|I^n_{m,k-1} - I^n_{m,k}| \le c\, n^{-\gamma}.$$

Proof. The proof will be done in several steps.

Step 1. Suppose first that $k = 1$. Making the change of variables $u_1 = s_1$, $u_i = s_i - s_{i-1}$, for $2 \le i \le m$, we can show that $|I^n_{m,0} - I^n_{m,1}|$ is less than a constant multiple of

$$n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{O_m} |\widehat{f}(y_1 - y_2) - \widehat{f}(-y_2)| \Big(\prod_{i=2}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1})| \Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy,$$

where $O_m = \big\{(u_1, \dots, u_m) : u_1 > 1, \sum_{i=1}^m u_i < e^{nt}, n^{-m} < u_i,\ i = 2, 3, \dots, m\big\}$ and $y_{m+1} = 0$.

Taking into account that that $|\widehat{f}(x)| \le c_\alpha(|x|^\alpha \wedge 1)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and $O_m \subset [n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|I^n_{m,0} - I^n_{m,1}| &\le c_2\, n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m} |y_1|^\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \big(|y_{2j}|^\alpha + |y_{2j+1}|^\alpha\big) e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy \\
&\le c_3\, n^{-\frac{m}{2} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1)(m\alpha) + (m - 1 - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)} \\
&\le c_3\, n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1)(m\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing α small enough such that $-\frac{1}{2} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1)(m\alpha) = -\gamma$ gives

$$|I^n_{m,0} - I^n_{m,1}| \le c_3\, n^{-\gamma}.$$

Step 2: Suppose now that $k = 2$. By the definition of $I^n_{m,1}$ and $I^n_{m,2}$, $|I^n_{m,1} - I^n_{m,2}|$ is less than a constant multiple of

$$n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m} |\widehat{f}(-y_2)| |\widehat{f}(y_2 - y_3) - \widehat{f}(y_2)| \Big(\prod_{i=3}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1})| \Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|\, u_i}\, du\, dy.$$

Using similar arguments as in Step 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I^n_{m,1} - I^n_{m,2}| &\le c_4\, n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m} |y_2|^\alpha |y_3|^\alpha \Big(\prod_{j=2}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} |y_{2j}|^\alpha + |y_{2j+1}|^\alpha \Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|\, u_i}\, du\, dy \\
&\le c_5\, n^{-\frac{m}{2} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1)(m\alpha) + (m - 1 - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)} \\
&\le c_5\, n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1)(m\alpha)} \\
&= c_5\, n^{-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Suppose that k is odd and $3 \le k \le m$. Since k is odd, $|I^n_{m,k-1} - I^n_{m,k}|$ is less than a constant multiple of

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m} \Big(\prod_{i=k+1}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1})| \Big) |\widehat{f}(y_k - y_{k+1}) - \widehat{f}(-y_{k+1})| \\
\times \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|\, u_i}\, du\, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|I^n_{m,k-1} - I^n_{m,k}|$ is less than a constant multiple of

$$n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{O_m} \Big(\prod_{i=k+1}^{m} |\widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1})| \Big) |y_k|^\alpha \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \Big) e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |y_i|\, u_i} \, du\, dy.$$

Integrating with respect to the variables x_is and u_is with $i \leq k-1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} |I^n_{m,k-1} - I^n_{m,k}| \leq c_6\, n^{-\frac{m-(k-1)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-k+1}} \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^{m-k+1}} \Big(\prod_{i=k+1}^{m} |\widehat{f}(y_i - y_{i+1})| \Big) |y_k|^\alpha \\ \times e^{-\sum\limits_{i=k}^{m} |y_i|\, u_i} \, du\, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $du = du_k \cdots du_m$, $dy = dy_k \cdots dy_m$. Applying Step 1 and then doing some algebra, we can obtain

$$|I^n_{m,k-1} - I^n_{m,k}| \leq c_6\, n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\lfloor \frac{m-k+1}{2} \rfloor + 1)(m-k+1)\alpha} = c_6\, n^{-\gamma}.$$

Step 4: The case k is even and $4 \leq k \leq m$ is handled in a similar way. □

Proposition 4.2 *Suppose that f is bounded, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |xf(x)|\, dx < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, dx = 0$. Then, for any $t > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_1^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\, ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-1}\, dx \Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{Z(t)}\, \eta$$

as n tends to infinity, where η is a standard normal random variable independent of $Z(t)$.

Proof. The proof will be done in several steps.

Step 1 We first show tightness. Let $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_1^{e^{nt}} f(X(s))\, ds$. Then, using the Fourier transform,

$$\mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 = \frac{2}{n} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(x_1) \widehat{f}(x_2)\, e^{-|x_2|(s_2 - s_1) - |x_2 + x_1| s_1}\, dx\, ds.$$

Since $|\widehat{f}(x)| \leq c_\alpha (|x|^\alpha \wedge 1)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 &\leq \frac{c_1}{n} \int_1^{e^{nt}} \int_1^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{f}(x_2)|\, e^{-|x_2|(s_2 - s_1) - |x_2 + x_1| s_1}\, dx\, ds \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{n} \Big(\int_1^{e^{nt}} s_1^{-1}\, ds_1 \Big) \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x_2)| |x_2|^{-1}\, dx_2 \Big) \\ &\leq c_3\, t. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 We show the convergence of all odd moments. Assume that m is odd. Recall the estimate (4.1), which allows us to replace $\mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^m$ by I^n_m. By Proposition 4.1, it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I^n_{m,m} = 0,$$

where

$$I^n_{m,m} = \frac{m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{D_{m,1}} \widehat{f}(y_m) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |y_i|(s_i - s_{i-1})} \, ds \, dy.$$

Making the change of variables $u_1 = s_1$, $u_i = s_i - s_{i-1}$, for $2 \le i \le m$ yields

$$I^n_{m,m} = \frac{m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{O_m} \widehat{f}(y_m) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |y_i| u_i} \, du \, dy,$$

where, as before,

$$O_m = \big\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) : \, 1 < u_1, \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i < e^{nt}, n^{-m} < u_i < e^{nt}, \, i = 2, \dots, m \big\}.$$

Notice that $O_m \subset [1, e^{nt}] \times [n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^{m-1}$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \big| I^n_{m,m} \big| &\le c_4 \, n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{[1,e^{nt}] \times [n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^{m-1}} |\widehat{f}(y_m)| \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |y_i| u_i} \, du \, dy \\ &\le c_5 \, n^{-\frac{m}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1} dy \Big)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \Big(\int_{n^{-m}}^{e^{nt}} u^{-1} \, du \Big)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)| \, |y|^{-1} dy \Big) \\ &\le c_6 \, n^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates gives $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^m = 0$ when m is odd.

Step 3 We show the convergence of all even moments. Assume that m is even. Recall the estimate (4.1). By Proposition 4.1, it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I^n_{m,m} = \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-1} \, dx \Big)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \mathbb{E} \Big(\sqrt{Z(t)} \, \eta \Big)^m, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$I^n_{m,m} = \frac{m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{D_{m,1}} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m/2} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \Big) \, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} |y_i|(s_i - s_{i-1})} \, ds \, dy.$$

Making the change of variables $u_1 = s_1$, $u_i = s_i - s_{i-1}$, for $2 \le i \le m$ and then integrating with respect to all y_is with i odd gives

$$I^n_{m,m} = \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}} m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_{O_m} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m/2} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \Big) \, e^{-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m/2} |y_{2j}| u_{2j}} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m/2} u_{2j-1}^{-1} \Big) \, du \, d\overline{y},$$

where $d\overline{y} = dy_2 \, dy_4 \cdots dy_m$ and, as before,

$$O_m = \big\{ (u_1, \dots, u_m) : \, 1 < u_1, \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i < e^{nt}, n^{-m} < u_i < e^{nt}, \, i = 2, \dots, m \big\}.$$

Taking into account that $O_m \subset [1,\infty) \times [n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^{m-1}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty} I^n_{m,m} &\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}} m!}{(2\pi)^m} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 \, e^{-|y|u} \, du \, dy \Big)^{\frac{m}{2}} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_{n^{-m}}^{e^{nt}} u^{-1} du \Big)^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &= \frac{m! \; t^{\frac{m}{2}}}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1} \, dy \Big)^{\frac{m}{2}}. \qquad (4.3)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using $O_{m,1} \times O_{m,2} \subset O_m$, where

$$O_{m,1} = \Big\{ (u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) : u_1 > 1, \, u_{2j-1} > n^{-m}, \, j = 2, \dots, m/2, \, \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} u_{2j-1} < e^{nt}/2 \Big\}$$

and

$$O_{m,2} = \Big\{ (u_2, \dots, u_m) : \, u_{2j} > n^{-m}, \, j = 1, \dots, m/2, \, \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} u_{2j} < e^{nt}/2 \Big\},$$

gives

$$I^n_{m,m} \geq \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}} m!}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{O_{m,1} \times O_{m,2}} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} |\widehat{f}(y_{2j})|^2 \Big) \, e^{-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m/2} |y_{2j}| u_{2j}} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m/2} u_{2j-1}^{-1} \Big) \, du \, d\overline{y}.$$

By Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{n\to\infty} I^n_{m,m} &\geq \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}} m!}{(2\pi)^m} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1} \, dy \Big)^{\frac{m}{2}} t^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &= \frac{m! \; t^{\frac{m}{2}}}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1} \, dy \Big)^{\frac{m}{2}}. \qquad (4.4)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} I^n_{m,m} &= \frac{m! \; t^{\frac{m}{2}}}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1} \, dy \Big)^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &= \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-1} \, dx \Big)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\sqrt{Z_t} \, \eta \right)^m,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used Lemma 2.1. So the statement (4.2) follows. Using the method of moments, the proof is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since f is bounded, this follows easily from Proposition 4.2.

5 A remark on [15]

In this section, we assume $\alpha > 1$ and will give another expression for the constant in the limiting process in Theorem 1.1 of [15].

Theorem 5.1 *Suppose that f is a bounded Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,dx = 0$. Then*

$$\Big\{ n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} f(X(s))\,ds\,,\ t \geq 0 \Big\} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \quad \Big\{ \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha}\,dx \Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} W(L_t(0)\,, t \geq 0 \Big\}$$

as n tends to infinity, where W is a real-valued Brownian motion independent of X.

Proof. Let

$$F_n = n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} f(X(s))\,ds.$$

Then, by Theorem 1.1 in [15], it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 = \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha}\,dx \Big)\, \mathbb{E}\,(L_t(0)).$$

Using the Fourier transform,

$$\mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_0^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(x_1)\widehat{f}(x_2)\, e^{-|x_2|^\alpha (s_2-s_1) - |x_2+x_1|^\alpha s_1}\,dx\,ds.$$

Making the change of variables $y_2 = x_2$ and $y_1 = x_2 + x_1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_0^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(y_1 - y_2)\widehat{f}(y_2)\, e^{-|y_2|^\alpha (s_2-s_1) - |y_1|^\alpha s_1}\,dy\,ds.$$

Let

$$I_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_0^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{f}(y_2)|^2\, e^{-|y_2|^\alpha (s_2-s_1) - |y_1|^\alpha s_1}\,dy\,ds.$$

For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(y)| \leq c_\beta |x-y|^\beta,$$

where β can be any constant in $[0,1]$. Thus,

$$\big|\mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 - I_2^2\big| \leq c_1\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_0^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_1|^\beta |\widehat{f}(y_2)|\, e^{-|y_2|^\alpha (s_2-s_1) - |y_1|^\alpha s_1}\,dy\,ds.$$

Making the change of variables $u_2 = s_2 - s_1$ and $u_1 = s_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 - I_2^2\big| &\leq c_1\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_0^{nt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_1|^\beta |\widehat{f}(y_2)|\, e^{-|y_2|^\alpha u_2 - |y_1|^\alpha u_1}\,dy\,du \\
&\leq c_1\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_1|^\beta |\widehat{f}(y_2)| |y_2|^{-\alpha}\, e^{-|y_1|^\alpha u_1}\,dy\,du_1 \\
&\leq c_2\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y_1|^\beta\, e^{-|y_1|^\alpha u_1}\,dy_1\,du_1 \\
&\leq c_3\, n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt} |u_1|^{-\frac{1+\beta}{\alpha}}\,du_1 \\
&\leq c_4\, n^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}},
\end{aligned}$$

where β can be any constant such that $0 < \beta < \alpha - 1$. Using the above estimate,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\,(F_n)^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} I_2^2.$$

So we only need to show

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_2^2 = \Big(\frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha}\,dx\Big)\,\mathbb{E}\,(L_t(0)).$$

By the L'Hôpital rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} I_2^2 &= \frac{\alpha t}{2\pi^2(\alpha-1)} \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{f}(y_2)|^2\, e^{-|y_2|^\alpha(nt-s_1)-|y_1|^\alpha s_1}\,dy\,ds_1\\ &= \frac{\alpha t}{2\pi^2(\alpha-1)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y|^\alpha}\,dy\Big) \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^{nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{-|y|^\alpha(nt-s)}\,dy\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon\in(0,1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^{(1-\epsilon)nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{-|y|^\alpha(nt-s)}\,dy\,ds\\ &\le \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^{(1-\epsilon)nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{-|y|^\alpha \epsilon nt}\,dy\,ds\\ &\le c_5 \lim_{n\to\infty} n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2\, e^{-|y|^\alpha \epsilon nt}\,dy\\ &\le c_6 \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{1-\frac{3}{\alpha}}\\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we used $|\widehat{f}(y)| \le c_7|y|$ for all $y\in\mathbb{R}$.

Making the change of variable $u = nt - s$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{(1-\epsilon)nt}^{nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, e^{-|y|^\alpha(nt-s)}\,dy\,ds\\ &\qquad= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^{\epsilon nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, (t-\frac{u}{n})^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, e^{-|y|^\alpha u}\,dy\,du.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \le (t-\frac{u}{n})^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \le (1-\epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-\alpha}\,dy\\ &\quad\le \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{(1-\epsilon)nt}^{nt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, e^{-|y|^\alpha(nt-s)}\,dy\,ds\\ &\qquad\le (1-\epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-\alpha}\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Using these estimates and the fact that $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} I_2^2 &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2(\alpha-1)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y|^\alpha}\,dy\Big)\,t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2|y|^{-\alpha}\,dy\\ &= \Big(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2|y|^{-\alpha}\,dy\Big)\,\mathbb{E}\,(L_t(0)),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used Lemma 2.2. This completes the proof. □

6 Appendix

Here we give some lemmas which are necessary for the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Lemma 6.1 *For any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^m}\int_{[-1,1]^m}\int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |\sum\limits_{j=i}^m x_j|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\,ds\,dx = (2t)^m,$$

where $D_m = \big\{1 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m < e^{nt}\big\}$.

Proof. Making the change of variables $y_i = \sum\limits_{j=i}^m x_j$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^m}\int_{[-1,1]^m}\int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |\sum\limits_{j=i}^m x_j|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\,ds\,dx &\le \frac{1}{n^m}\int_{[-m,m]^m}\int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\,ds\,dy\\ &= \frac{2^m}{n^m}\int_{D_m}\Bigg(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-m(s_i-s_{i-1})}}{s_i-s_{i-1}}\Bigg)\,ds\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^m}\int_{[-1,1]^m}\int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |\sum\limits_{j=i}^m x_j|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\,ds\,dx &\ge \frac{1}{n^m}\int_{[-\frac{1}{m},\frac{1}{m}]^m}\int_{D_m} e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i|(s_i-s_{i-1})}\,ds\,dy\\ &= \frac{2^m}{n^m}\int_{D_m}\Bigg(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-\frac{1}{m}(s_i-s_{i-1})}}{s_i-s_{i-1}}\Bigg)\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show that, for any $b > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^m}\int_{O_{m,3}}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\,du = t^m,$$

where $O_{m,3} = \big\{(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m) : \sum\limits_{i=1}^m u_i < e^{nt},\ u_1 \ge 1,\ u_i > 0,\ i = 2, 3, \ldots, m\big\}$.

Let $O_{m,4} = \big\{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m u_i < e^{nt},\, u_i > 0,\, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\big\}$. Then

$$\int_{O_{m,4}-O_{m,3}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du \le \int_{O_{m,5}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du,$$

where $O_{m,5} = \big\{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) : 0 < u_1 \le 1,\, 0 < u_i < e^{nt},\, i = 2, \dots, m\big\}$.

Note that $h(x) = \frac{1-e^{-bx}}{x}$ is a continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim\limits_{x\to 0^+} h(x) = b$ and $\lim\limits_{x\to\infty} h(x) = 0$. Using the L'Hôpital rule,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{e^{nt}} h(x)\, dx = t.$$

This shows

$$\int_{O_{m,4}-O_{m,3}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du \le \Big(\int_0^1 \frac{1-e^{-bu}}{u}\, du\Big)\Big(\int_0^{e^{nt}} \frac{1-e^{-bu}}{u}\, du\Big)^{m-1} \le c_1\, n^{m-1},$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{O_{m,3}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{O_{m,4}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[0,e^{nt}]^m - O_{m,3}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du &\le \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{[0,e^{nt}]^m \cap \{u_j > \frac{e^{nt}}{m}\}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du \\ &\le m\Big(\int_{\frac{e^{nt}}{m}}^{e^{nt}} \frac{1-e^{-bu}}{u}\, du\Big)\Big(\int_0^{e^{nt}} \frac{1-e^{-bu}}{u}\, du\Big)^{m-1} \\ &\le c_2\, n^{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{O_{m,3}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{[0,e^{nt}]^m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1-e^{-bu_i}}{u_i}\Big)\, du \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^{e^{nt}} \frac{1-e^{-bu}}{u}\, du\Big)^m \\ &= t^m.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above arguments gives the desired result. □

Lemma 6.2 *For any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{O_m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i)|^2\Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1}\, dy\Big)^m,$$

where $O_m = \big\{(u_1, \dots, u_m) : u_i > n^{-m},\, i = 1 \dots, m,\, \sum_{i=1}^m u_i < e^{nt}/2\big\}$.

Proof. It is easy to see

$$\Delta_m := [n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m - O_m \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^m \Delta_{m,j},$$

where $\Delta_{m,j} = [n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m \cap \{u_j > \frac{e^{nt}}{m}\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\int_{\Delta_m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i)|^2\Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\int_{\Delta_{m,j}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i)|^2\Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy \\
&\le c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\frac{e^{nt}}{m}}^{e^{nt}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, e^{-|y|u}\, du\, dy \\
&= c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1} \big(e^{-|y|\frac{e^{nt}}{m}} - e^{-|y|e^{nt}}\big)\, du\, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\int_{\Delta_m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i)|^2\Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy = 0. \tag{6.1}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |\widehat{f}(y_i)|^2\Big)\, e^{-\sum\limits_{i=1}^m |y_i| u_i}\, du\, dy \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{n^{-m}}^{e^{nt}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2\, e^{-|y|u}\, du\, dy\Big)^m \\
&= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 |y|^{-1}\, dy\Big)^m
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Our result follows easily from (6.1) and (6.2). □

Lemma 6.3 *For any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{O_m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m u_i^{-1}\Big)\, du = t^m,$$

where $O_m = \big\{(u_1, \dots, u_m) : \sum\limits_{i=1}^m u_i < e^{nt},\ u_1 \ge 1,\ u_i \ge n^{-m},\ i = 2, \dots, m\big\}$.

Proof. This result follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m - O_m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m u_i^{-1}\Big)\, du &\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{[n^{-m}, e^{nt}]^m \cap \{u_j > \frac{e^{nt}}{m}\}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m u_i^{-1}\Big)\, du \\
&\le c_1 \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{n^{-m}}^{e^{nt}} u^{-1} du\Big)^{m-1} \Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{\frac{e^{nt}}{m}}^{e^{nt}} u^{-1} du\Big) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^m}\int_{[n^{-m},e^{nt}]^m}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^m u_i^{-1}\Big)\,du=\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{n^{-m}}^{e^{nt}}u^{-1}du\Big)^m=t^m.$$

□

References

[1] Biane, P. Comportement asymptotique de certaines fonctionnelles additives de plusieurs mouvements browniens. *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXIII*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1372**, Springer, Berlin, (1989) 198–233.

[2] Bingham, N.H. Limit theorems for occupation times of Markov processes. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **17** (1971) 1–22.

[3] Boylan, E. Local times for a class of Markov processes. *Ill. Journal of Math.* **8** (1964) 19–39.

[4] Darling, D.A., Kac, M. On occupation times for Markoff processes. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **84** (1957) 444–458.

[5] Hu, Y., Nualart, D., and Xu, F. Central limit theorem for an additive functional of the fractional Brownian motion. *Annals of Probabability*, Accepted.

[6] Kallianpur, G., Robbins, H. Ergodic property of the Brownian motion process. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **39** (1953) 525–533.

[7] Kasahara, Y.; Kosugi, N. A limit theorem for occupation times of fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **67**(2) (1997) 161–175.

[8] Kasahara, Y.; Kotani, S. On limit processes for a class of additive functionals of recurrent diffusion processes. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **49** (1979) 133–153.

[9] Kipnis, C., Varadhan, S.R.S. Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and their applications to simple exclusions. *Commum. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 1–19.

[10] Kôno, N. Kallianpur-Robbins law for fractional Brownian motion. In: Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics (Tokyo, 1995), 229–236, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996.

[11] Nualart, D., Xu, F. Central limit theorem for functionals of two independent fractional Brownian motions. arXiv:1211.1967

[12] Nualart, D., Xu, F. Central limit theorem for an additive functional of the fractional Brownian motion II. arXiv:1304.6426

[13] Papanicolaou, G.C., Stroock, D., Varadhan, S. R. S. Martingale approach to some limit theorems. *Duke Univ. Math. Ser. III, Statistical Mechanics and Dynamical Systems*, 1977.

[14] Peszat, S., Talarczyk, A. Functional central limit theorem for additive functionals of α-stable processes. *Potential Anal.* **33** (2010) 199–209.

[15] Rosen, J. Second order limit laws for the local times of stable processes. *Séminaire de probabilités de Stransbourg* **25** (1991) 407-424.